

Imaging of airway remodelling

Learn more about the benefits, limitations and clinical correlates of imaging techniques available for the assessment of airway remodelling in asthma



Z4-53105; date of preparation: April 2023. © 2023 AstraZeneca. All Rights Reserved. This information is intended for healthcare professionals only. EpiCentral is sponsored and developed by AstraZeneca.

CT assessment of airway remodelling



Benefits^{1–6}

- Gold standard in pulmonary imaging
- Airway wall thickness measurements are consistent with histological examinations
- Simple to measure lung parenchymal density and gas trapping
- Allows identification and quantification of mucus plugging

Clinical correlates^{3,7–12}

- CT-assessed remodelling has been found to correlate positively with asthma severity in adult patients
- CT assessment of gas trapping is associated with asthma severity and airway hyperresponsiveness
- Patients with increased WA% and gas trapping on CT scans may be more likely to exhibit neutrophilic inflammation
- Mucus plugging shown on CT is associated with sputum eosinophilia

Limitations^{1,2,6}

- Complex and potentially difficult to measure airway dimensions
- Low precision for measuring small airways of <1–2 mm diameter
- Cannot distinguish which specific components of the airway wall are thickened in airway remodelling

Bronchial wall thickening in a patient with severe asthma as assessed by inspiratory CT¹³



CT, computed tomography; WA%, wall area percentage

1. King GG, et al. Eur Respir Rev 2019;28:180111; 2. Dournes G, Laurent F. Pulm Med 2012;2012:670414; 3. Dunican EM, et al. J Clin Invest 2018;128:997–1009; 4. Trivedi A, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;139:1– 10; 5. Stewart NJ, et al. Br J Radiol 2022;95:20210207; 6. de Jong PA, et al. Eur Respir J 2005;26:140–152; 7. Aysola RS, et al. Chest 2008;134:1183–1191; 8. Niimi A, et al. Am J Crit Care Med 2000;162:1518–1523; 9. Little SA, et al. Thorax 2002;57:247–253; 10. Busacker A, et al. Chest 2009;135:48–56; 11. Ueda T, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;118:1019–1025; 12. Gupta S, et al. Thorax 2010;65:775–781; 13. van den Bosch WB, et al. Eur Respir Rev 2021;30:200186

Z4-53105; date of preparation: April 2023. © 2023 AstraZeneca. All Rights Reserved. This information is intended for healthcare professionals only. EpiCentral is sponsored and developed by AstraZeneca.



MRI assessment of airway remodelling



Benefits^{1–5}

- High spatial and temporal resolution of ventilation defects, which reflect airway narrowing
- Allows longitudinal monitoring of disease with avoidance of exposure of patient to ionising radiation
- Can provide information not captured by pulmonary function tests

Clinical correlates^{1–3,7–10}

- MRI ventilation defects are associated with asthma severity and exacerbation risk
- Ventilation defects predict long-term FEV₁ reversibility in mild-to-moderate asthma
- Ventilation defects may also be associated with eosinophilia and poor control of eosinophilic inflammation
- Regions of air trapping and mucus plugging on CT overlap with MRI-assessed ventilation defects

Limitations^{1,4,6}

- Airway wall thickness cannot be measured
- Slightly reduced spatial resolution compared with CT scans

Hyperpolarised noble gas MRI static ventilation images of patients at GINA steps 1, 3, 4 and 5²



CT, computed tomography; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; GINA, Global Initiative for Asthma; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging

1. King GG, et al. Eur Respir Rev 2019;28:180111; 2. Kooner HK, et al. Respirology 2022;27:114–133; 3. Stewart NJ, et al. Br J Radiol 2022;95:20210207; 4. Trivedi A, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;139:1–10; 5. Petousi N, et al. Thorax 2019;74:797–805; 6. de Jong PA, et al. Eur Respir J 2005;26:140–152; 7. Mummy DG, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2018;141:1140–1141; 8. Altes TA, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2016;137:789–796; 9. Svenningsen S, et al. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2018;197:876–884; 10. Eddy RL, et al. Radiology 2019;293:212–220



Z4-53105; date of preparation: April 2023. © 2023 AstraZeneca. All Rights Reserved. This information is intended for healthcare professionals only. EpiCentral is sponsored and developed by AstraZeneca.

EBUS assessment of airway remodelling



Benefits^{1–3}

- More sensitive method to study bronchial wall thickness than HRCT
- Capability to discriminate between individual layers of the airways; allows 3–5 layers to be distinguished
- Access to airways as small as 4 mm

Limitations^{1,2}

- Requires bronchoscopy, which carries a risk of bronchospasm
- Standards have not yet been established

Clinical correlates^{4,5}

- Thickening of bronchial walls L_1 , L2 and L_{3-5} , as measured by EBUS, is associated with severe asthma
- PC₂₀, a measure of airway hyperresponsiveness, negatively correlates with the thickness of the second airway wall layer in patients with asthma

Measurement of bronchial wall thickness using HRCT and EBUS¹





EBUS, endobronchial ultrasound; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; HRCT, high-resolution computed tomography; L, layer; PC₂₀, provocation concentration of methacholine causing a 20% fall in FEV₁

1. Gorska K, et al. Respir Med 2016;117:131–138; 2. Trivedi A, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;139:1–10; 3. Manso L, et al. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 2012;40:108–116;

4. Soja J, et al. Pol Arch Med Wewn 2015;125:659–665; 5. Kita T, et al. J Bronchology Interv Pulmonol 2010;17:301–306



